

ANNEX 11. Quality Assurance Check List for Review of Deliverable: Accreditation/ approval of MSc curricula (Task 2.6)

Author(s) responsible for the deliverable: Dr Avdul Adrović

WP leader: Dr Dušanka Cvijanović

QA reviewer(s): Dr Milica Stojković Piperac

Assurance point	Issues to be addressed		Assessment	Comments	Recommendations
1. Compliance with the objective of ECOBIAS	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> partially		
2. Compliance with the specific objectives of the workpackage	Does the deliverables comply with the WP objectives as specified in the WP description?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> partially		
3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the application Form?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> partially		
4. Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no		
5. Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no		
6. Adequacy of written language	Level of written English		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> excellent <input type="checkbox"/> adequate <input type="checkbox"/> poor		
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement		The deliverable contains all necessary documentation to be considered fully done.			
Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAT reviewers: 12/09/2021					
Deadline for submission of amended version of the deliverables: /					

M. Croquelló huetepé

